arXiv:1512.00354v1 [math.AG] 1 Dec 2015

ON THE GROTHENDIECK–SERRE CONJECTURE CONCERNING PRINCIPAL G-BUNDLES OVER SEMI-LOCAL DEDEKIND DOMAINS

I. PANIN AND A. STAVROVA

Abstract. Let R be a semi-local Dedekind domain and let K be the field of fractions of R. Let G be a reductive semisimple simply connected R-group scheme such that every semisimple normal R-subgroup scheme of G contains a split R-torus $\mathbb{G}_{m,R}$. We prove that the kernel of the map

$$H^1_{\text{ét}}(R,G) \to H^1_{\text{ét}}(K,G)$$

induced by the inclusion of R into K, is trivial. This result partially extends the Nisnevich theorem [Ni, Thm.4.2].

1. Introduction

A well-known conjecture due to J.-P. Serre and A. Grothendieck [Se, Remarque, p.31], [Gr1, Remarque 3, p.26-27], and [Gr2, Remarque 1.11.a] asserts that given a regular local ring R and its field of fractions K and given a reductive group scheme G over R the map

$$H^1_{\text{ét}}(R,G) \to H^1_{\text{ét}}(K,G),$$

induced by the inclusion of R into K, has trivial kernel.

The Grothendieck–Serre conjecture holds for semi-local regular rings containing a field. That is proved in [FP] and in [Pa1]. The first of these two papers is heavily based on results of [PSV] and [Pa2]. For the detailed history of the topic see, for instance, [FP]. Assuming that R is not equicharacteristic, the conjecture has been established only in the case where G is an R-torus [C-T/S] and in the case where G is a reductive group scheme over a discrete valuation ring R [Ni, Theorem 4.2]. In the present paper, we extend the latter result to the case of an isotropic semisimple simply connected reductive group scheme over a semi-local Dedekind domain R; see Theorem 3.4.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Parabolic subgroups and elementary subgroups.** Let A be a commutative ring. Let G be an isotropic reductive group scheme over A, and let P be a parabolic subgroup of G in the sense of [SGA3]. Since the base $\operatorname{Spec} A$ is affine, the group P has a Levi subgroup L_P [SGA3, Exp. XXVI Cor. 2.3]. There is a unique parabolic subgroup P^- in G which is opposite to P with respect to L_P, that is

Theorem 3.4 is proved due to the support of the Russian Science Foundation (grant no. 14-11-00456).

The second author is a postdoctoral fellow of the program 6.50.22.2014 "Structure theory, representation theory and geometry of algebraic groups" at St. Petersburg State University.

$P^- \cap P = L_P$, cf. [SGA3, Exp. XXVI Th. 4.3.2]. We denote by U_P and U_{P^-} the unipotent radicals of P and P^- respectively.

Definition 2.1. *The* elementary subgroup $E_P(A)$ corresponding to P *is the subgroup of* $G(A)$ *generated as an abstract group by* $U_P(A)$ *and* $U_{P^-}(A)$.

Note that if L'_P is another Levi subgroup of P, then L'_P and L_P are conjugate by an element $u \in U_P(A)$ [SGA3, Exp. XXVI Cor. 1.8], hence $E_P(A)$ does not depend on the choice of a Levi subgroup or of an opposite subgroup P^-, respectively. We suppress the particular choice of L_P or P^- in this context.

Definition 2.2. *A parabolic subgroup* P *in* G *is called* strictly proper*, if it intersects properly every normal semisimple subgroup of* G.

We will use the following result that is a combination of [PSt1] and [SGA3, Exp. XXVI, §5].

Lemma 2.3. *Let* G *be a reductive group scheme over a commutative ring* A*, and let* R *be a commutative* A*-algebra. Assume that* A *is a semilocal ring. Then the subgroup* $E_P(R)$ *of* $G(R)$ *is the same for any minimal parabolic* A*-subgroup* P *of* G*. If, moreover,* G *contains a strictly proper parabolic* A*-subgroup, the subgroup* $E_P(R)$ *is the same for any strictly proper parabolic* A*-subgroup* P.

Proof. See [St14, Theorem 2.1]. □

2.2. **Torus actions on reductive groups.** Let R be a commutative ring with 1, and let $S = (\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m},R})^N = \mathrm{Spec}\,(R[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_N^{\pm 1}])$ be a split N-dimensional torus over R. Recall that the character group $X^*(S) = \mathrm{Hom}_R(S, \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m},R})$ of S is canonically isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^N$. If S acts R-linearly on an R-module V, this module has a natural $\mathbb{Z}^N$-grading

$$V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in X^*(S)} V_\lambda,$$

where

$$V_\lambda = \{v \in V \mid s \cdot v = \lambda(s)v \text{ for any } s \in S(R)\}.$$

Conversely, any $\mathbb{Z}^N$-graded R-module V can be provided with an S-action by the same rule.

Let G be a reductive group scheme over R in the sense of [SGA3]. Assume that S acts on G by R-group automorphisms. The associated Lie algebra functor $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ then acquires a $\mathbb{Z}^N$-grading compatible with the Lie algebra structure,

$$\mathrm{Lie}(G) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in X^*(S)} \mathrm{Lie}(G)_\lambda.$$

We will use the following version of [SGA3, Exp. XXVI Prop. 6.1].

Lemma 2.4. *Let* $L = \mathrm{Cent}_G(S)$ *be the subscheme of* G *fixed by* S*. Let* $\Psi \subseteq X^*(S)$ *be an* R*-subsheaf of sets closed under addition of characters.*

(i) If $0 \in \Psi$*, then there exists a unique smooth connected closed subgroup* U_Ψ *of* G *containing* L *and satisfying*

$$\mathrm{Lie}(U_\Psi) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Psi} \mathrm{Lie}(G)_\lambda. \tag{1}$$

Moreover, if $\Psi = \{0\}$, *then* $U_\Psi = L$; *if* $\Psi = -\Psi$, *then* U_Ψ *is reductive; if* $\Psi \cup (-\Psi) = X^*(S)$, *then* U_Ψ *and* $U_{-\Psi}$ *are two opposite parabolic subgroups of* G *with the common Levi subgroup* $U_{\Psi\cap(-\Psi)}$.

(ii) If $0 \notin \Psi$, *then there exists a unique smooth connected unipotent closed subgroup* U_Ψ *of* G *normalized by* L *and satisfying* (1).

Proof. The statement immediately follows by faithfully flat descent from the standard facts about the subgroups of split reductive groups proved in [SGA3, Exp. XXII]; see the proof of [SGA3, Exp. XXVI Prop. 6.1]. □

Definition 2.5. *The sheaf of sets*

$$\Phi = \Phi(S, G) = \{\lambda \in X^*(S) \setminus \{0\} \mid \mathrm{Lie}(G)_\lambda \neq 0\}$$

is called the system of relative roots of G with respect to S.

Choosing a total ordering on the $\mathbb{Q}$-space $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X^*(S) \cong \mathbb{Q}^n$, one defines the subsets of positive and negative relative roots Φ^+ and Φ^-, so that Φ is a disjoint union of Φ^+, Φ^-, and $\{0\}$. By Lemma 2.4 the closed subgroups

$$U_{\Phi^+\cup\{0\}} = P, \qquad U_{\Phi^-\cup\{0\}} = P^-$$

are two opposite parabolic subgroups of G with the common Levi subgroup $\mathrm{Cent}_G(S)$. Thus, if a reductive group G over R admits a non-trivial action of a split torus, then it has a proper parabolic subgroup. The converse is true Zariski-locally, see Lemma 2.6 below.

2.3. **Relative roots and subschemes.** In order to prove our main result, we need to use the notions of relative roots and relative root subschemes. These notions were initially introduced and studied in [PSt1], and further developed in [St15].

Let R be a commutative ring. Let G be a reductive group scheme over R. Let P be a parabolic subgroup scheme of G over R, and let L be a Levi subgroup of P. By [SGA3, Exp. XXII, Prop. 2.8] the root system Φ of $G_{\overline{k(s)}}$, $s \in \operatorname{Spec} R$, is constant locally in the Zariski topology on $\operatorname{Spec} R$. The type of the root system of $L_{\overline{k(s)}}$ is determined by a Dynkin subdiagram of the Dynkin diagram of Φ, which is also constant Zariski-locally on $\operatorname{Spec} R$ by [SGA3, Exp. XXVI, Lemme 1.14 and Prop. 1.15]. In particular, if $\operatorname{Spec} R$ is connected, all these data are constant on $\operatorname{Spec} R$.

Lemma 2.6. [St15, Lemma 3.6] *Let* G *be a reductive group over a connected commutative ring* R, P *be a parabolic subgroup of* G, L *be a Levi subgroup of* P, *and* $\bar{L}$ *be the image of* L *under the natural homomorphism* $G \to G^{ad} \subseteq \mathrm{Aut}(G)$. *Let* D *be the Dynkin diagram of the root system* Φ *of* $G_{\overline{k(s)}}$ *for any* $s \in \operatorname{Spec} A$. *We identify* D *with a set of simple roots of* Φ *such that* $P_{\overline{k(s)}}$ *is a standard positive parabolic subgroup with respect to* D. *Let* $J \subseteq D$ *be the set of simple roots such that* $D \setminus J \subseteq D$ *is the subdiagram corresponing to* $L_{\overline{k(s)}}$. *Then there are a unique maximal split subtorus* $S \subseteq \mathrm{Cent}(\bar{L})$ *and a subgroup* $\Gamma \leq \mathrm{Aut}(D)$ *such that* J *is invariant under* Γ, *and for any* $s \in \operatorname{Spec} R$ *and any split maximal torus* $T \subseteq \bar{L}_{\overline{k(s)}}$ *the kernel of the natural surjection*

$$X^*(T) \cong \mathbb{Z}\,\Phi \xrightarrow{\pi} X^*(S_{\overline{k(s)}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}\,\Phi(S, G) \tag{2}$$

is generated by all roots $\alpha \in D \setminus J$, *and by all differences* $\alpha - \sigma(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in J$, $\sigma \in \Gamma$.

In [PSt1], we introduced a system of relative roots Φ_P with respect to a parabolic subgroup P of a reductive group G over a commutative ring R. This system Φ_P was defined independently over each member $\operatorname{Spec} A = \operatorname{Spec} A_i$ of a suitable finite disjoint Zariski covering

$$\operatorname{Spec} R = \coprod_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{Spec} A_i,$$

such that over each $A = A_i$, $1 \le i \le m$, the root system Φ and the Dynkin diagram D of G is constant. Namely, we considered the formal projection

$$\pi_{J,\Gamma}\colon \mathbb{Z}\,\Phi \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}\,\Phi/\left\langle D \setminus J;\ \alpha - \sigma(\alpha),\ \alpha \in J,\ \sigma \in \Gamma \right\rangle,$$

and set $\Phi_P = \Phi_{J,\Gamma} = \pi_{J,\Gamma}(\Phi) \setminus \{0\}$. The last claim of Lemma 2.6 allows to identify $\Phi_{J,\Gamma}$ and $\Phi(S,G)$ whenever $\operatorname{Spec} R$ is connected.

Definition 2.7. *In the setting of Lemma 2.6 we call* $\Phi(S,G)$ *a* system of relative roots with respect to the parabolic subgroup P over R *and denote it by* Φ_P.

If A is a field or a local ring, and P is a minimal parabolic subgroup of G, then Φ_P is nothing but the relative root system of G with respect to a maximal split subtorus in the sense of [BT1] or, respectively, [SGA3, Exp. XXVI §7].

We have also defined in [PSt1] irreducible components of systems of relative roots, the subsets of positive and negative relative roots, simple relative roots, and the height of a root. These definitions are immediate analogs of the ones for usual abstract root systems, so we do not reproduce them here.

Let R be a commutative ring with 1. For any finitely generated projective R-module V, we denote by $W(V)$ the natural affine scheme over R associated with V, see [SGA3, Exp. I, §4.6]. Any morphism of R-schemes $W(V_1) \to W(V_2)$ is determined by an element $f \in \operatorname{Sym}^*(V_1^\vee) \otimes_R V_2$, where Sym^* denotes the symmetric algebra, and $V_1^\vee$ denotes the dual module of V_1. If $f \in \operatorname{Sym}^d(V_1^\vee) \otimes_R V_2$, we say that the corresponding morphism is homogeneous of degree d. By abuse of notation, we also write $f : V_1 \to V_2$ and call it *a degree d homogeneous polynomial map from V_1 to V_2*. In this context, one has

$$f(\lambda v) = \lambda^d f(v)$$

for any $v \in V_1$ and $\lambda \in R$.

Lemma 2.8. [St15, Lemma 3.9]. *In the setting of Lemma 2.6, for any $\alpha \in \Phi_P = \Phi(S,G)$ there exists a closed S-equivariant embedding of R-schemes*

$$X_\alpha\colon W\big(\operatorname{Lie}(G)_\alpha\big) \to G,$$

satisfying the following condition.

- $(*)$ *Let R'/R be any ring extension such that $G_{R'}$ is split with respect to a maximal split R'-torus $T \subseteq L_{R'}$. Let e_δ, $\delta \in \Phi$, be a Chevalley basis of* $\operatorname{Lie}(G_{R'})$, *adapted to T and P, and $x_\delta\colon \mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{a}} \to G_{R'}$, $\delta \in \Phi$, be the associated system of 1-parameter root subgroups (e.g. $x_\delta = \exp_\delta$ of* [SGA3, Exp. XXII, Th. 1.1]). *Let*

$$\pi : \Phi = \Phi(T, G_{R'}) \to \Phi_P \cup \{0\}$$

be the natural projection. Then for any $u = \sum_{\delta\in\pi^{-1}(\alpha)} a_\delta e_\delta \in \mathrm{Lie}(G_{R'})_\alpha$ *one has*

$$X_\alpha(u) = \Big(\prod_{\delta\in\pi^{-1}(\alpha)} x_\delta(a_\delta)\Big)\cdot\prod_{i\geq 2}\Big(\prod_{\theta\in\pi^{-1}(i\alpha)} x_\theta(p^i_\theta(u))\Big), \tag{3}$$

where every $p^i_\theta : \mathrm{Lie}(G_{R'})_\alpha \to R'$ *is a homogeneous polynomial map of degree* i*, and the products over* δ *and* θ *are taken in any fixed order.*

Definition 2.9. *Closed embeddings* X_α, $\alpha\in\Phi_P$, *satisfying the statement of Lemma 2.8, are called* relative root subschemes of G with respect to the parabolic subgroup P.

Relative root subschemes of G with respect to P, actually, depend on the choice of a Levi subgroup L in P, but their essential properties stay the same, so we usually omit L from the notation.

We will use the following properties of relative root subschemes.

Lemma 2.10. [PSt1, Theorem 2, Lemma 6, Lemma 9] *Let* X_α, $\alpha\in\Phi_P$, *be as in Lemma 2.8. Set* $V_\alpha = \mathrm{Lie}(G)_\alpha$ *for short. Then*

(i) There exist degree i *homogeneous polynomial maps* $q^i_\alpha : V_\alpha\oplus V_\alpha \to V_{i\alpha}$, $i¿1$, *such that for any* R*-algebra* R' *and for any* $v,w\in V_\alpha\otimes_R R'$ *one has*

$$X_\alpha(v)X_\alpha(w) = X_\alpha(v+w)\prod_{i>1} X_{i\alpha}\left(q^i_\alpha(v,w)\right). \tag{4}$$

(ii) For any $g\in L(R)$*, there exist degree* i *homogeneous polynomial maps* $\varphi^i_{g,\alpha}\colon V_\alpha\to V_{i\alpha}$, $i\geq 1$, *such that for any* R*-algebra* R' *and for any* $v\in V_\alpha\otimes_R R'$ *one has*

$$gX_\alpha(v)g^{-1} = \prod_{i\geq 1} X_{i\alpha}\left(\varphi^i_{g,\alpha}(v)\right).$$

(iii) (generalized Chevalley commutator formula) *For any* $\alpha,\beta\in\Phi_P$ *such that* $m\alpha\neq -k\beta$ *for all* $m,k\geq 1$*, there exist polynomial maps*

$$N_{\alpha\beta ij}\colon V_\alpha\times V_\beta\to V_{i\alpha+j\beta},\ i,j>0,$$

homogeneous of degree i *in the first variable and of degree* j *in the second variable, such that for any* R*-algebra* R' *and for any for any* $u\in V_\alpha\otimes_R R'$, $v\in V_\beta\otimes_R R'$ *one has*

$$[X_\alpha(u),X_\beta(v)] = \prod_{i,j>0} X_{i\alpha+j\beta}\big(N_{\alpha\beta ij}(u,v)\big) \tag{5}$$

(iv) For any subset $\Psi\subseteq X^*(S)\setminus\{0\}$ *that is closed under addition, the morphism*

$$X_\Psi\colon W\Big(\bigoplus_{\alpha\in\Psi} V_\alpha\Big)\to U_\Psi,\qquad (v_\alpha)_\alpha\mapsto\prod_\alpha X_\alpha(v_\alpha),$$

where the product is taken in any fixed order, is an isomorphism of schemes.

Lemma 2.11. *In the notation of Lemma 2.6, let* $\Phi^\pm$ *be the set of positive and negative roots such that* $D\subseteq\Phi^+$. *Set* $\Phi^\pm_P = \pi(\Phi^\pm)\setminus\{0\}$, $P^+ = P$, *and let* P^- *be the opposite parabolic subgroup to* P *such that* $P\cap P^- = L$. *Then for any* R*-algebra* R'*, one has*

$$U_{P^\pm}(R') = \left\langle X_\alpha(R'\otimes_R V_\alpha),\ \alpha\in\Phi^\pm_P\right\rangle.$$

Consequently,

$$E_P(R') = \langle X_\alpha(R' \otimes_R V_\alpha),\ \alpha \in \Phi_P \rangle\,.$$

Proof. By the choice of D the parabolic subgroup $P_{\overline{k(s)}}$ is the standard positive parabolic subgroup of $G_{\overline{k(s)}}$ corresponding to a closed set of roots $\Psi \supseteq \Phi^+$. By the choice of $J \subseteq D$, one has

$$\Psi = \Phi^+ \cup \big(\mathbb{Z}(D \setminus J) \cap \Phi^-\big).$$

Then, clearly, $\pi(\Psi) = \Phi_P^+ \cup \{0\}$. Similarly, P^- corresponds to the set $(-\Psi)$ and $\pi(-\Psi) = \Phi_P^- \cup \{0\}$. Then the unipotent radicals $U_{P^\pm}$ correspond to the closed unipotent subsets

$$\pi\big(\Phi^\pm \setminus \mathbb{Z}(D \setminus J)\big) = \Phi_P^\pm \subseteq \Phi_P.$$

Then Lemma 2.10 (iv) finishes the proof.

□

3. Main Theorem

All commutative rings are assumed to be unital. For any commutative ring R and $n \geq 3$, we denote by $E_n(R)$ the usual elementary subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(R)$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let R be a commutative ring, Let G be a reductive group scheme over R, and let $i : G \to \mathrm{GL}_{n,R}$ be a closed embedding of G as a closed R-subgroup, where $n \geq 3$. Assume that G contains a non-central 1-dimensional subtorus $H \cong \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m},R}$, and let $P = P^+$ and P^- be the corresponding two opposite parabolic subgroups constructed as in Lemma 2.4. Then one has $E_P(R) \leq E_n(R)$.*

Proof. Let $Q = Q^+$ and Q^- be the two parabolic R-subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_{n,R}$ corresponding to $H \leq G \leq \mathrm{GL}_{n,R}$, and let $M = \mathrm{Cent}_{\mathrm{GL}_{n,R}}(T)$ be their common Levi subgroup. We show that $U_P(R) \leq U_Q(R)$. Clearly, this implies the claim of the lemma. By [CGP10, Proposition 2.8.3(3)] this is true if R is a field. In general, take $g \in U_P(R)$. It is enough to show that $g \in U_Q(R_m)$ for any maximal localization R_m of R. Let

$$\rho : R_m \to R_m/mR_m = l$$

be the residue homomorphism. By the above $\rho^*(g) \in U_Q(l)$. Recall that $\Omega_Q = U_{Q^+}MU_{Q^-} \cong U_{Q^+} \times M \times U_{Q^-}$ is an open subscheme of $\mathrm{GL}_{n,R}$ [SGA3, Exp. XXVI, Remarque 4.3.6]. Hence

$$g \in U_{Q^+}(R_m)M(R_m)U_{Q^-}(R_m). \tag{6}$$

Let $L = P \cap P^- = \mathrm{Cent}_G(H)$ be the Levi subgroup of P and P^-. Let $\bar{H} \subseteq G^{ad}$ be the image of H under the natural homomorphism $G \to G^{ad}$. Clearly, $\bar{H} \cong \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m},R_m}$ is a split subtorus of the center of the image $\bar{L}$ of L in G^{ad}. Let $S \leq \mathrm{Cent}(\bar{L}_{R_m})$ be the split torus constructed in Lemma 2.6 (applied to the connected ring R_m). Then $\bar{H}_{R_m} \leq S$. The embeddings X_α, $\alpha \in \Phi(S, G_{R_m})$, are S-equivariant, hence they are $\bar{H}_{R_m}$-equivariant. Since $H \leq L$ preserves the subschemes $U_{P^\pm}$, and $\mathrm{Cent}(G) \leq L$, this implies that the embeddings X_α are H_{R_m}-equivariant.

By definition of $P = P^+$ and P^-, there is an isomorphism $X^*(H) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\mathrm{Lie}(U_P) = \bigoplus_{n>0} \mathrm{Lie}(G)_n \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Lie}(U_{P^-}) = \bigoplus_{n<0} \mathrm{Lie}(G)_n.$$

Since the embeddings X_α, $\alpha \in \Phi_P$, are H_{R_m}-equivariant, for any R_m-algebra R', any $s \in H(R')$, and any $u \in R' \otimes_{R_m} V_\alpha = R' \otimes_{R_m} \mathrm{Lie}(G)_\alpha$ we have

$$sX_\alpha(u)s^{-1} = X_\alpha(s(u)).$$

By Lemma 2.11 for any $\alpha \in \Phi_P^+$ we have $u \in \mathrm{Lie}(U_P)(R')$, hence $s(u) = s^n u$ for some $n = n(u) > 0$. Similarly, $\alpha \in \Phi_P^-$ we have $u \in \mathrm{Lie}(U_{P^-})(R')$, hence $s(u) = s^{-n}u$ for some $n = n(u) > 0$.

Applying this result to the ring of Laurent polynomials $R' = R_m[Z^{\pm}]$ and $s = Z \in H(R')$, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} &sU_P(R_m)s^{-1} \subseteq U_P(R_m[Z], ZR_m[Z]);\\ &sU_{P^-}(R_m)s^{-1} \subseteq U_{P^-}(R_m[Z^{-1}], Z^{-1}R_m[Z^{-1}]);\\ &s|_{L(R_m)} = \mathrm{id}. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

In particular, one has

$$sgs^{-1} \in U_P(R_m[Z], ZR_m[Z]) \leq G(R_m[Z], ZR_m[Z]) \leq \mathrm{GL}_n(R_m[Z], ZR_m[Z]). \tag{8}$$

On the other hand, the analogs of (7) hold for GL_n, $U_{Q^\pm}$, and M in place of G, $U_{P^\pm}$, and L. Therefore by (6) we have

$$sgs^{-1} \in U_{Q^+}(R_m[Z], ZR_m[Z]) \cdot M(R_m) \cdot U_{Q^-}(R_m[Z^{-1}], Z^{-1}R_m[Z^{-1}]).$$

Since one has

$$\begin{aligned} &U_{Q^+}(R_m[Z], ZR_m[Z]) \cdot M(R_m) \cdot U_{Q^-}(R_m[Z^{-1}], Z^{-1}R_m[Z^{-1}]) \cap \mathrm{GL}_n(R_m[Z], ZR_m[Z])\\ &= U_{Q^+}(R_m[Z], ZR_m[Z]), \end{aligned}$$

we conclude that $sgs^{-1} \in U_{Q^+}(R_m[Z]), ZR_m[Z])$ and thus $g \in U_{Q^+}(R_m)$, as required. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let G be an isotropic reductive group scheme over a connected Noetherian commutative ring B, provided with a closed B-embedding $G \to \mathrm{GL}_{n,B}$, $n \geq 3$, which is a B-group scheme homomorphism. Assume that G contains a non-central 1-dimensional split subtorus $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m},B}$, and let $P = P^+$ and P^- be the corresponding pair of opposite parabolic subgroups that exist by Lemma 2.4. Assume moreover that B is a subring of a commutative ring A, and let $h \in B$ be a non-nilpotent element. Denote by $F_h : G(A) \to G(A_h)$ the localization homomorphism.*

If $Ah + B = A$, i.e. the natural map $B \to A/Ah$ is surjective, then for any $x \in E_P(A_h)$ there exist $y \in G(A)$ and $z \in E_P(B_h)$ such that $x = F_h(y)z$.

Proof. Since the ring B is connected, by Lemmas 2.6 and 2.8 the group G over B with a parabolic subgroup P is provided with a split B-torus $S \leq G^{ad}$, the corresponding system of relative roots $\Phi(S, G) = \Phi_P$ and relative root subschemes $X_\alpha(V_\alpha)$, where $\alpha \in \Phi_P$ and each V_α is a finitely generated projective B-module. By Lemma 2.11 one has

$$E_P(R) = \langle X_\alpha(R \otimes_B V_\alpha),\ \alpha \in \Phi_P \rangle$$

for any B-algebra R.

One has $x = \prod_{i=1}^{m} X_{\beta_i}(c_i)$, $c_i \in A_h \otimes_B V_{\beta_i}$, $\beta_i \in \Phi_P$. We need to show that $x \in F_h(G(A))E_P(B_h)$. Clearly, it is enough to show that

$$E_P(B_h)X_\beta(c) \subseteq F_h(G(A))E_P(B_h) \tag{9}$$

for any $\beta \in \Phi_P$ and $c \in A_h \otimes_B V_\beta$. We can assume that β is a positive relative root without loss of generality. We prove the inclusion (9) by descending induction on the height of β. Let $e_1, \dots, e_k$ be a set of generators of the B-module V_β.

Take any $z \in E_P(B_h)$. By Lemma 3.1 we have $E_P(R) \leq E_n(R)$ for any B-algebra R. Take $R = A[Z]$, the ring of polynomials over A. For any $N \geq 1$ and $1 \leq i \leq k$ one has

$$zX_\beta(h^N Z e_i)z^{-1} \in zE_n(A_h[Z], ZA_h[Z])z^{-1} \cap G(A_h[Z]).$$

Since $z \in E_P(B_h) \leq E_n(A_h)$, by [Sus77, Lemma 3.3] there exists $N_i \geq 1$ and $g_i(Z) \in E_n(A[Z], ZA[Z])$ such that $F_h(g_i(Z)) = zX_\beta(h^{N_i} Z e_i)z^{-1}$. By [Mo, Lemma 3.5.4] there is $K_i \geq 1$ such that $g_i(h^{K_i} Z) \in G(A[Z])$. Summing up, we conclude that there is $N \geq 1$ such that

$$zX_\beta(h^N Z e_i)z^{-1} \in F_h\big(G(A[Z])\big) \tag{10}$$

for any $1 \leq i \leq k$.

On the other hand, note that $Ah + B = A$ implies $Ah^n + B = A$ for any $n \geq 1$. Let $M \geq 0$ be such that $h^M c \in A \otimes_B V_\beta$. Then one can find $a \in A \otimes_B V_\beta$ and $b \in V_\beta$ such that

$$c = ah^N + h^{-M} b.$$

Write $a = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i e_i$, where $a_i \in A$. By the multiplication formula for relative root elements (4) we have

$$X_\beta(c) = X_\beta(ah^N) X_\beta(h^{-M} b) \prod_{j \geq 2} X_{j\beta}\left(u_j\right),$$

where $u_j = q_\beta^j(h^N a, h^{-M} b) \in A_h \otimes_B V_{j\beta}$, and, similarly,

$$X_\beta(ah^N) = \prod_{i=1}^k X_\beta(a_i h^N e_i) \prod_{j \geq 2} X_{j\beta}(v_j),$$

where $v_j \in A \otimes_B V_{j\beta}$. By the choice of N in (10), one has

$$z \left(\prod_{i=1}^k X_\beta(a_i h^N e_i) \right) z^{-1} \in F_h(G(A)). \tag{11}$$

It remains to note that, since the height of the relative roots $j\beta$, $j \geq 2$, is larger than that of β, the inductive hypothesis version of the inclusion (9) can be applied to all elements $X_{j\beta}(v_j)$ and $X_{j\beta}(u_j)$, $j \geq 2$. Since, moreover, z and $X_\beta(h^{-M} b)$ belong to $E_P(B_h)$, we see that

$$z \left(\prod_{j \geq 2} X_{j\beta}(v_j) \right) X_\beta(h^{-M} b) \left(\prod_{j \geq 2} X_{j\beta}\left(u_j\right) \right) z^{-1} \in F_h(G(A)) E_P(B_h).$$

Combining this result with (11), we conclude that

$$zX_\beta(c)z^{-1} \in F_h\left(G(A)\right) E_P(B_h),$$

which proves (9). □

Lemma 3.3. *Let R be a henselian discrete valuation ring. Let K be the field of fractions of R. Let G be a semisimple simply connected R-group scheme such that every semisimple normal R-subgroup scheme of G contains a split R-torus $\mathbb{G}_{m,R}$. Then G contains a strictly proper parabolic R-subgroup P, and*

$$G(K) = G(R)E_P(K).$$

Proof. Since G is a semisimple simply connected R-group scheme, by [SGA3, Exp. XXIV 5.3, Prop. 5.10] there exist finite étale ring extensions R'_i/R, $1 \le i \le n$, and absolutely almost simple simply connected R'_i-group schemes G'_i such that

$$G \cong G_1 \times_{\operatorname{Spec} R} G_2 \times_{\operatorname{Spec} R} \ldots \times_{\operatorname{Spec} R} G_n,$$

where $G_i = \mathrm{R}_{R'_i/R}(G'_i)$ are minimal semisimple normal subgroups of G. Clearly,

$$G(K) \cong \prod_{i=1}^{n} G'_i(K \otimes_R R_i) \quad \text{and} \quad G(R) \cong \prod_{i=1}^{n} G'_i(R_i). \tag{12}$$

Since each G_i contains $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m},R}$, one readily sees that each G'_i is isotropic, i.e. contains $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m},R'_i}$ (see e.g. the proof of [PSV, Theorem 11.1]). Hence by Lemma 2.4 G'_i has a proper parabolic R'_i-subgroup P'_i. Then $P_i = \mathrm{R}_{R'_i/R}(P'_i)$ is a proper parabolic R-subgroup of G_i, and

$$P = P_1 \times_{\operatorname{Spec} R} P_2 \times_{\operatorname{Spec} R} \ldots \times_{\operatorname{Spec} R} P_n$$

is a strictly proper parabolic R-subgroup of G. We have

$$E_P(K) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} E_{P_i}(K) \cong \prod_{i=1}^{n} E_{P'_i}(K \otimes_R R'_i). \tag{13}$$

Fix an i, $1 \le i \le n$, and abbreviate $A = R'_i$, $H = G'_i$, $P' = P'_j$. Since the map $R \to A$ is finite étale, the ring A is a product of a finite number of henselian discrete valuation rings A_j, $1 \le j \le n$, and $K \otimes_R A$ is the product of their respective fraction fields L_j, $1 \le j \le n$. By [Gi, Lemme 4.5] one has

$$H(K \otimes_R A) = \prod_{j=1}^{m} H(L_j) = \prod_{j=1}^{m} H(A_j)E_{P'}(L_j) = H(A)E_{P'}(K \otimes_R A).$$

Combining this result with (12) and (13), we deduce that

$$G(K) \cong \prod_{i=1}^{n} G'_i(R'_i)E_{P'_i}(K \otimes_R R'_i) \cong \prod_{i=1}^{n} G_i(R)E_{P_i}(K) = G(R)E_P(K),$$

as required.

□

Theorem 3.4. *Let R be a semi-local Dedekind domain. Let K be the field of fractions of R. Let G be a reductive semisimple simply connected R-group scheme such that every semisimple normal R-subgroup scheme of G contains a split R-torus $\mathbb{G}_{m,R}$. Then the map*

$$H^1_{\acute{e}t}(R, G) \to H^1_{\acute{e}t}(K, G)$$

of pointed sets induced by the inclusion of R into K has trivial kernel.

Proof. We prove the theorem by induction on the number of maximal ideals in R. If R is local then the theorem holds by [Ni]. Let $n > 1$ be an integer and suppose the theorem holds for all Dedekind domains containing strictly less than n maximal ideals. Prove that the theorem holds for a Dedekind domain R with exactly n maximal ideals. Let $\mathfrak{m} \subset R$ be a maximal ideal and let $f \in \mathfrak{m}$ be its generator. Let R' be the Henselization of R at the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ and let R_f be the localization of R at f. Let L' be the fraction field of R'.

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a principal G-bundle over R which is trivial over the field K. By the inductive hypothesis $\mathcal{E}$ is trivial over R_f and over R'. Thus we may assume that $\mathcal{E}$ is obtained by patching over $\operatorname{Spec} L'$ of two trivial principal G-bundles $G_f := G \times_{\operatorname{Spec} R} \operatorname{Spec} R_f$ and $G' := G \times_{\operatorname{Spec} R} \operatorname{Spec} R'$ using an element $x \in G(L')$.

By Lemma 3.3 G contains a strictly proper parabolic R-subgroup P, and one has

$$G(L') = G(R').E_P(L')$$

So, $x = x''.x'$ for some $x'' \in G(R')$ and $x' \in E_P(L')$. Replacing the patching element $x = x''.x'$ with $x' \in E_P(L')$ we do not change the isomorphism class of the principal G-bundle $\mathcal{E}$ over R. Moreover, by Lemma 3.2 one can present x' in the form $x' = y.z$ with $y \in G(R')$ and $z \in E_P(R_f)$. The latter yields the triviality of the principal G-bundle $\mathcal{E}$ over R, since $\operatorname{Spec} R_f$ and $\operatorname{Spec} R'$ form a covering of $\operatorname{Spec} R$ for the Nisnevich topology.

□

References

[BT1] A. Borel, J. Tits, *Groupes réductifs*, Publ. Math. I.H.É.S. **27** (1965), 55–151.

[C-T/S] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, J.-J. Sansuc, *Principal homogeneous spaces under flasque tori: Applications*, Journal of Algebra **106** (1987), 148–205.

[CGP10] B. Conrad, O. Gabber, G. Prasad, *Pseudo-reductive groups*, New Mathematical Monographs, vol. 17, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.

[SGA3] M. Demazure, A. Grothendieck, *Schémas en groupes*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 151–153, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1970.

[Gi] Ph. Gille, *Le problème de Kneser-Tits*, Sém. Bourbaki **983** (2007), 983-01–983-39.

[FP] R. Fedorov, I. Panin, *A proof of Grothendieck–Serre conjecture on principal bundles over a semilocal regular ring containing an infinite field*, Preprint, 2013, http://www.arxiv.org/abs/1211.2678v2, Published online in Publ. IHES (2015), http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10240-015-0075-z

[Gr1] A. Grothendieck, *Torsion homologique et sections rationnelles*, in Anneaux de Chow et applications, Séminaire Claude Chevalley **3** (1958), Exp. 5, 29 p.

[Gr2] A. Grothendieck, *Le groupe de Brauer. II. Théorie cohomologique*, Dix exposés sur la cohomologie des schémas, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 3, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968, pp. 67–87.

[Mo] L.-F. Moser, *Rational triviale Torseure und die Serre-Grothendiecksche Vermutung*, Diplomarbeit, 2008, http://www.mathematik.uni-muenchen.de/∼lfmoser/da.pdf.

[Ni] Y. Nisnevich, *Rationally Trivial Principal Homogeneous Spaces and Arithmetic of Reductive Group Schemes Over Dedekind Rings*, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris, Série I **299** (1984), no. 1, 5–8.

[Pa1] I. Panin, *Proof of Grothendieck–Serre conjecture on principal bundles over regular local rings containing a finite field*, Preprint, https://www.math.uni-bielefeld.de/lag/, No. 559, 2015.

[Pa2] I. Panin, *On Grothendieck—Serre's conjecture concerning principal G-bundles over reductive group schemes: II*, Preprint, April 2013, http://www.math.org/0905.1423v3.

[PSV] I. Panin, A. Stavrova, N. Vavilov, *On Grothendieck-Serre's conjecture concerning principal G-bundles over reductive group schemes: I*, Compos. Math. **151** (2015), no. 3, 535–567.

[PSt1] V. Petrov, A. Stavrova, *Elementary subgroups of isotropic reductive groups*, St. Petersburg Math. J. **20** (2009), 625–644.

[Se] J.-P. Serre, *Espaces fibrés algébriques*, in Anneaux de Chow et applications, Séminaire Claude Chevalley **3** (1958), Exp. 1, 37 p.

[St14] A. Stavrova, *Homotopy invariance of non-stable K_1-functors*, J. K-Theory **13** (2014), 199–248.

[St15] A. Stavrova, *Non-stable K_1-functors of multiloop groups*, Canad. J. Math. (2015), Online First.

[Sus77] A. A. Suslin, *The structure of the special linear group over rings of polynomials*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **41** (1977), no. 2, 235–252, 477.

Steklov Institute of Mathematics at St.-Petersburg, Fontanka 27, St.-Petersburg 191023, Russia

E-mail address: paniniv@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics and Mechanics, St. Petersburg State University, St. Petersburg, Russia

E-mail address: anastasia.stavrova@gmail.com